



THE FUTURE OF EVALUATION: 10 PREDICTIONS

Žilvinas Martinaitis, PhD
Visionary Analytics

Trend no. 1: increasing polarisation

Proliferation and institutionalisation of evaluation practices in the 90s coincided with:

- › the 'end of history' (Fukuyama, 1992): fading class divisions, prominence of centrist 'third way' parties, etc.
- › all this established technocratic competence as a key source of political legitimacy.

Since the end of global financial crisis we witness:

- › Increasing polarisation of societies along ideological lines
- › Growing distrust in elites and (scientific) knowledge in particular
- › Information wars, fake news phenomenon, etc.

Trend no. 1: implications

1

Evaluation will become increasingly irrelevant:

- > At best, one more opinion (lack of 'hard data', subjective judgements)
- > At worst, expensive instrument to justify decisions of 'elite'

2

Evaluation as an inclusive process of transparent decision making:

- > *Ex ante* evaluations will gain prominence
- > No more evaluation reports! Evaluations carried out on web platforms, providing open data, analysis algorithms, forums for public consultations, joint definition of questions and 'wiki' interpretation of findings

Trend no. 2: challenges to the role of causal inference

Evaluation is 'obsessed' with establishing causality between an intervention and the outcomes:

- > Counterfactual impact evaluations
- > Theory-based impact evaluation

Predictive analytics (based on data mining, AI, deep learning algorithms, etc.):

- > Can already estimate what are you going to buy, likelihood that your kid is a genius, you will repay loans, crash a car, etc.
- > Data driven: based on past trends and correlations

Trend no. 2: implications

3

AI takes over:

- › Project applications rated by computers
- › Evaluations are the results of estimates of likelihood of impacts
- › Most evaluations done in-house → external evaluators unemployed

4

Evaluation as 'research programmes':

- › Develop generalisable knowledge on the outcomes of interventions, i.e. theory building and testing
- › One-off evaluations → coordinated series of activities to broaden understanding of whether and why the 'interventions work'.

Trend no. 3: Big data

Evaluation relies on inherently limited and expensive-to-obtain data:

- › Interviews, surveys, monitoring data, and similar

Big data:

- › Exponential growth – approx. 90% of data has been created during the past decade
- › Volume and variety: n= (almost) all, individual level, time series
- › Cheap: large initial costs of setting-up the system, but insignificant marginal costs of additional data collection

Trend no. 3: implications

5

Monitoring and evaluation in real time:

- › Lighter reporting requirements
- › Progress along the impact pathways can be continuously traced → no more fact finding evaluation reports!

6

Counterfactual impact evaluation as standard:

- › Ex ante simulations
- › Every Friday delivered with your morning coffee!

7

The role of external evaluators will change:

- › Making sense of it all: transform information to knowledge
- › What if we don't know that we don't know... Interviews will survive!?

Trend no. 3: implications

8

New types of evaluation questions:

- › Big data allows simulations and scenario building
- › E.g. Which alternative policies / instruments could deliver higher impacts?

9

The role of internal evaluation departments will change:

- › Knowledge brokers: anticipation of demand, storage and retrieval of evidence
- › Technical staff: design & run the data systems

Prediction no. 10

Which predictions will come true?



Depends on you!



THANK
YOU!